XXIII. *The Latitude and Longitude of* York *determined from a Variety of Aſtronomical Obſervations; together with a Recommendation of the Method of determining the Longitude of Places by Obſervations of the Moon's Tranſit over the Meridian. Contained in a Letter from* Edward Pigott, *Eſq. to* Nevil Maſkelyne, *D. D. F. R. S. and Aſtronomer Royal.*

Read June 29, 1786.

S I R, Bootham, York, March 16, 1786.

THE great number and variety of obſervations I have made for determining the longitude and latitude of York will, I believe, ſettle thoſe points very accurately: I therefore wiſh to have them preſented to the Royal Society, and beg the favour of you to be at that trouble. The inſtruments I uſed were a good gridiron pendulum clock, a 2½ feet reflector, an eighteen-inch quadrant by BIRD, and a tranſit inſtrument made by SISSON.

The difference of meridians between Greenwich and York was found by the following methods.

Occultations

The Royal Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve, and extend access to *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London.* www.jstor.org

Occultations of ſtars by the moon.

1783	App. time. h. ′ ″	
Sept. 10	11 34 44½	York, immerſion of a ſtar of the ninth magnitude during the eclipſe of the moon; good.
	11 49 39½	Paris, at L'Obſervatoire de la Marine; ditto. Ditto, by M. Messier, who determined its R.A. 349° 22′ 17″ and ſouth declination 5° 27′ 54″.
Oct. 7	14 26 28½	York, immerſion of φ Aquarii, inſtantaneous.
	14 37 15½	Greenwich, ditto.
Dec. 30	8 1 24	York, immerſion of δ Piſcium, inſtantaneous: I find I wrote down the minute wrong, it is here corrected.
	8 2 56½	Greenwich, immerſion of ditto.

Mr. Goodricke was ſo obliging as to be at the trouble of computing theſe occultations, and ſent me the reſults as follows:

		′ ″	
By the ſtar of the ninth magnitude - - -		4 29	Difference of meridians between Greenwich and York.
By φ Aquarii - - - - -		4 23	
By δ Piſcium compared to the Greenwich obſervation	4′ 30″	4 29	
Ditto, to Mr. Wollaston's obſervation, -	4 28		
On a mean - - -		4 27	

Obſerved meridian R.A.'s of the moon's limb.

In 1783 this method of finding the difference of meridians occurred to me, and I wrote to Mr. Bayley, your late Aſſiſtant, for information, being entirely ignorant it had ever been noticed; but have ſince ſeen, Sir, that you mention it in your valuable Inſtructions for the Obſervations of the Tranſit of Venus, annexed to the Nautical Almanac of 1769. I have alſo juſt peruſed on the ſame ſubject Abbé Toaldo's ingenious pamphlet written in 1784, which you were ſo kind as to ſend me. Still I find that the great exactneſs of this method is not ſuſpected; I therefore ſhall, in the latter part of this Paper, enter into ſome neceſſary detail, being convinced that, in a very ſhort time, it muſt be univerſally adopted, having every advantage over Jupiter's firſt ſatellite, and but little inferior in preciſion to occultations.

Difference of our meridians by each obſervation.

		′	″			′	″
1781,	Dec. 20.	4	36	1784,	July 2.	4	23
	Dec. 29.	4	10		Nov. 20.	4	23
1782,	June 17.	4	25		Dec. 20.	4	27
	Nov. 30.	4	20		Dec. 22.	4	20
	Dec. 18.	4	25	1785,	Mar. 19.	4	25
1783,	Nov. 3.	4	32		Aug. 16.	4	22
	Dec. 6.	4	39 :		Aug. 18.	4	36
	Dec. 30.	4	16		Sept. 12.	4	35
1784,	May 1.	4	8 :		Sept. 17.	4	25
	May 25.	4	11		Nov. 12.	4	34
					Nov. 14.	4	18

4′ 24″¼ on a mean.

Obſervations of Jupiter's firſt Satellite.

Dates, &c.	App. time.			
	h.	′	″	
1782, June 3.	12	36	48	York, it immerged near Jupiter.
	12	51	9	Paris, M. MECHAIN.
Immerſions.	12	51	7	Paris, M. CASSINI.
	13	57	40	Buda, Father WEISS.

Obſervations of Jupiter's firſt Satellite continued.

Dates, &c.	App. time h.	′	″	
1782, July 21	9	35	10	York.
	9	39	21	Greenwich, Dr. Maskelyne.
Emerſions.	9	48	54	Paris, M. Mechain; high wind.
	9	48	46	Paris, M. Cassini.
	10	55	15	Buda, Father Weiss; moon very near Jupiter.
1783, July 3	12	9	50	York; it immerged near Jupiter.
Immerſions.	12	14	20	Greenwich.
	12	24	8	Paris, M. Mechain.
Sept. 17	9	48	15	York.
	9	47	44	York, Mr. Goodricke; very good.
Emerſions.	9	46	39	Oxford, Mr. Hornsby.
	10	1	0	Paris, M. Mechain; very good.
1784, Aug. 4	10	10	55	York; tolerably good.
Immerſions.	10	10	57	York, Mr. Goodricke; middling.
	10	24	57	Paris, M. Mechain; air a little hazy.
Sept. 3	14	39	52	York; emerged near Jupiter.
Emerſions.	14	53	51	Paris; thinks rather too late.
Sept. 5	9	8	54	York; good.
	9	13	15	Greenwich, Dr. Maskelyne.
Emerſions.	9	22	18	Paris, M. Mechain; 6 feet reflector, magnifying 450 times.
	9	22	45	Paris; with a 3½ tripl. object glaſs achromatic.
Sept. 12	11	6	9	York; good.
	11	6	24	York, Mr. Goodricke; very good.
Emerſions.	11	10	42	Greenwich, Dr. Maskelyne.
	11	19	47	Paris, M. Mechain; as on the 5th.
	11	19	50	Paris, M. Mechain; as on the 5th.
1785, July 15	13	37	32	York; good.
Immerſions.	13	42	1	By tables corrected by the obſervations of Greenwich and Marſeilles of July 31, 1785.
July 31	11	53	18	York; good.
Immerſions.	11	57	32	Greenwich; air very clear.
	12	18	53	Marſeilles, M. Bernard.

Obſervations of Jupiter's firſt Satellite continued.

Dates, &c.	App. time.			
	h.	′	″	
1785, Aug. 30	14	2	59	York; excellent; air remarkably clear.
Immerſions.	14	7	3	Greenwich; ditto.
	14	28	33	Marſeilles, M. BERNARD.
Sept. 15	12	25	2	York; good.
	12	25	4	York, Mr. GOODRICKE; good; moon-light.
Immerſions.	12	29	23	Greenwich; air clear.
	12	50	46	Marſeilles, M. BERNARD.
Nov. 18	7	58	6	York.
Emerſions.	8	2	39	Greenwich; air very clear.
	8	12	2	Paris, M. MECHAIN; a thin cloud.
Dec. 2	11	44	24	York; Jupiter rather low.
Emerſions.	11	49	13	Greenwich; ditto; air clear.

By letters from M. MECHAIN, Buda is 1 h. 6′ 33″ eaſt of Paris, and Marſeilles alſo eaſt 0 h. 12′ 7″.

I obſerved with a 2½ feet reflector, which I believe to be about 10″ of time inferior to the teleſcopes of Greenwich, Oxford, Paris, and Buda. As for Marſeilles no inſtrument is mentioned; therefore, except for that place, 10″ muſt be added to my immerſions, and the ſame ſubtracted from the emerſions; then the difference of meridians between Greenwich and York will be as follows, when each of the obſervations is compared to mine, and a mean thereof taken.

Immerſions.	′	″		Emerſions.	′	″
1782, June 3.	4	54		1782, July 21.	4	29
1783, July 3.	4	36		1782, Sept. 17.	4	8
1784, Aug. 4.	4	36		1784, Sept. 3.	4	53
1785, July 15.	4	19		Sept. 5.	4	33
July 31.	4	8		Sept. 12.	4	37
Aug. 30.	4	3		1785, Nov. 18.	4	46
Sept. 15.	4	16		Dec. 12.	4	59
	4	24½	on a mean		4	38

Therefore, by a mean of the immerſions and emerſions, York is 4′ 31″ weſt of Greenwich. Mr. Goodricke's emerſion of Sept. 17, 1783, is uſed inſtead of mine, it being undoubtedly more exact.

To enter into any detail concerning the eclipſes of Jupiter's ſatellites would be uſeleſs, as it is a matter ſo amply conſidered by every aſtronomer. I ſhall only ſay that the exactneſs expected even from thoſe of the firſt ſatellite is, in my opinion, too highly rated. Among the various objections, there is one I have often experienced, and which proceeds ſolely from the diſpoſition of the eye, that of ſeeing more diſtinctly at one time than at another. It may not be improper alſo to mention, that the obſervation I ſhould have relied on as the beſt, that of Auguſt 30, 1785, marked excellent, and air remarkably clear both at Greenwich and York, is one of thoſe which differ the moſt from the truth. This I remark without having the moſt diſtant inclination of drawing any concluſion; a ſingle inſtance can be of no weight.

Part of the eclipſe of the Moon, Sept. 10, 1783.

The two laſt columns ſhew the difference of meridians between Greenwich and York. The obſervations marked with an aſteriſk were made by Mr. Goodricke.

Spots obſerved.	York, by Mr. Goodricke and me. App. time.	Paris, by M. Mechain. App. time.	Paris, by M. Messier. App. time.	Diff. of meridians by M. Mechain.	Diff. of merid. by M. Messier.
	h. ′ ″	h. ′ ″	h. ′ ″	′ ″	′ ″
Galileus biſected –	9 45 32	9 58 33	– –	3 38	– –
Ariſtarchus covered –	9 49 13*	10 2 38	– –	4 2	– –
Copernicus touches	9 57 3*	10 11 18	10 11 9	4 52	4 48
	9 57 20	10 11 18	10 11 9	4 35	4 31
Copernicus biſected	9 58 33*	10 12 5	– –	4 9	– –
	9 58 55	10 12 5	– –	3 47	– –
Copernicus covered –	9 59 9*	10 12 57	10 12 41	4 25	4 14
Plato touches –	10 5 7	10 18 37	10 18 40	4 7	4 15
Plato covered –	10 6 18	10 19 52	10 19 28	4 11	3 52
Manilius touches –	10 11 26*	10 25 34	10 25 24	4 45	4 40
	10 11 33	10 25 34	10 25 24	4 38	4 33
Tycho touches –	10 11 57*	10 25 34	10 25 24	4 14	4 9
	10 11 57	10 25 34	10 25 24	4 14	4 9
Manilius covered –	10 12 47*	10 26 29	10 26 53	4 19	4 48
Tycho covered –	10 13 8*	10 27 19	10 27 8	4 48	4 42
	10 13 32	10 27 19	10 27 8	4 24	4 18
Menelaus biſected –	10 15 41	10 29 19	– –	4 15	– –
Prom. Acut. Cen. covered	10 25 26*	10 39 5	– –	4 16	– –
Proclus biſected –	10 29 00	10 42 28	– –	4 5	– –
Mare Criſium touches	10 30 18*	10 43 56	10 44 00	4 15	4 24
	10 30 18	10 43 56	10 44 00	4 15	4 24
Mare Criſium biſected	10 32 43*	10 46 34	10 46 10	4 28	4 9
Mare Criſium covered	10 35 38*	10 49 11	– –	4 10	– –
Grimaldus emerges –	12 23 30	– –	12 37 5	– –	4 17
Grimaldus biſected –	12 23 44	12 36 48	– –	3 41	– –
Grimaldus emerged	12 23 55*	12 37 25	– –	4 7	– –
	12 23 59:	12 37 25	– –	4 3	– –
Galileus emerges –	12 25 50*	– –	12 39 1	– –	3 53
Galileus biſected –	12 25 56*	12 39 16	– –	3 57	– –
Ariſtarchus biſected.	12 28 59	12 43 8	– –	4 46	– –

Difference of meridians on a mean 4′ 16″

M. Mechain's Obſervatory was 9′ 23″, and M. Messier's 9′ 18″ eaſt of Greenwich.

Thus I have given a comparative view of the different methods I employed in ſettling the longitude of our Obſervatory, which is in Bootham, about 400 or 500 yards N. W. of the Minſter. The occultations and meridian tranſits of the moon's limb, which make it $4' 25''\frac{1}{2}$, or $1^\circ 6' 25''$, would have been quite ſufficient; but ſtill it is intereſting and uſeful to know how far the others err. With reſpect to the eclipſes of the moon's ſpots, I think that method is in general too much neglected; and that it might be relied on infinitely more, if certain circumſtances were mutually attended to.

1ſt, To be particular in ſpecifying the clearneſs of the ſky; for in hazy weather the reſults are very erroneous.

2dly, To chuſe ſuch ſpots that are well defined, and leave no heſitation as to the part eclipſed.

3dly, That every obſerver ſhould, as much as poſſible, uſe teleſcopes equally powerful; at leaſt let the magnifying powers be the ſame.

A principal objection may ſtill be urged, *viz.* the difficulty of diſtinguiſhing the true ſhadow from the penumbra. Was this obviated, I believe, the reſults would be more exact than from Jupiter's firſt ſatellite: undoubtedly the ſhadow appears better defined if magnified little; but I am much inclined to think, that with high magnifying powers there is greater certainty of chuſing the ſame part of the ſhadow, which perhaps is more than a ſufficient compenſation for the loſs of diſtinctneſs.

Concerning the meridian obſervations of the moon's limb.

The advantages and preciſion of this method for determining the difference of meridians is, as I have already ſaid, ſo little ſuſpected, that I flatter myſelf, the particulars I am going to mention will not be thought ſuperfluous.

The rule I adopted is this:

The increaſe of the moon's R.A. in 12 hours (or any given time) found by computation, is to 12 hours as the increaſe of the moon's R.A. between two places, found by obſervation, is to the difference of meridians.

EXAMPLE.

November 30, 1782.

h.	′	″		
13	12	57,62	meridian tranſit of the moon's ſecond limb ditto of α ♍	at Greenwich by clock.
13	13	29,08		
		31,46	Difference of R.A.	
13	14	8,05	meridian tranſit of the moon's ſecond limb ditto of α ♍	at York by clock.
13	14	30,13		
		22,08	difference at York, difference at Greenwich,	the clocks going nearly ſidereal time: no correction is required.
		31,46		
		9,38	increaſe of the moon's apparent R.A. between Greenwich and York, by obſervation.	

141″ in ſeconds of a degree, ditto, ditto, ditto.

The increaſe of the moon's R.A. for 12 hours by computation is 23340 ſeconds,
and 12 hours reduced into ſeconds is 43200;

therefore, according to the rule ſtated above,

23340″ : 43200″ :: 141″ : difference of meridians = 261″ —

Theſe eaſy obſervations and ſhort reduction are the whole of the buſineſs. Inſtead of computing the moon's R.A. for 12 hours, I have conſtantly taken it from the Nautical Almanacs, which give it ſufficiently exact, provided ſome attention be paid to the increaſe or decreaſe of the moon's motion.

Were the following circumſtances attended to, the reſults would undoubtedly be much more exact.

1ſt, Compare the obſervations to the ſame made in ſeveral other places.

2dly, Let ſeveral and the ſame ſtars be obſerved at theſe places.

3dly, Such ſtars as are neareſt in R.A. and declination to the moon are infinitely preferable.

4thly, Your advice to get as near as poſſible an equal number of obſervations of each limb, to take a mean of each ſet, and then a mean of both means, cannot be too ſtrongly urged. I am perfectly of your opinion, that it will conſiderably correct the error of teleſcopes and ſight.

5thly, The adjuſtment of the teleſcopes to the eye of the obſerver before the obſervation, which you alſo recommend, will appear very judicious to every aſtronomer, who muſt have frequently perceived what you mention, that the ſight is ſubject to vary.

6thly, As a principal error proceeds from the obſervation of the moon's limb, I think it may be conſiderably leſſened, if certain little round ſpots near each limb were alſo obſerved in ſettled Obſervatories; in which caſe the libration of the moon will perhaps be a conſideration.

7thly, When the difference of meridians, or of the latitudes of the places, is very conſiderable, the change of the moon's diameter becomes an equation.

Though ſuch are the requiſites to uſe this method with advantage, only one or two of them have been employed in the obſervations that I have reduced. Two thirds of theſe obſervations had not even the ſame ſtars obſerved at Greenwich and York; and yet none of the reſults, except a doubtful one, differ 15″ from the mean; therefore, I think, we may expect a ſtill greater exactneſs, perhaps within 10″, if the above particulars be attended to.

When the ſame ſtars are not obſerved, it is neceſſary for the obſervers at both places to compute their R.A. from tables, in order to get the apparent R.A. of the moon's limb; though this is not ſo ſatisfactory as by actual obſervation, ſtill the difference will be trifling, provided the ſtars R.A.'s are accurately ſettled. Your catalogue undoubtedly may be depended on the moſt, and thoſe ſtars preferred which have their proper motions aſcertained. A few years ago, I had the pleaſure of communicating to you the proper motion of β Virginis, which I found to be 1″,02 *per* year, increaſing in R.A.*: was this unknown, and that ſtar obſerved alone with the moon, it would occaſion, at this time, a very conſiderable error.

I am alſo of opinion, that the ſame method can be put in practice by travellers with little trouble, and a tranſit inſtrument conſtructed ſo as to fix up with facility in any place. Though I have not conſidered this ſufficiently, I ſhall, nevertheleſs, ſubjoin a few remarks that may engage others to turn their thoughts more fully to the ſubject.

It is not neceſſary, perhaps, that the inſtrument ſhould be perfectly in the meridian to a few ſeconds of time, provided ſtars, nearly in the *ſame parallel of declination* with the moon, are obſerved: nay, I am inclined to think, that if the inſtrument deviates even a quarter or half of a degree, or more, ſufficient exactneſs can be obtained, as a table might be com-

---

[*] Some time previous to this communication, I had found, by the compariſon of my tranſit obſervations of α Aquilæ and β Virginis, that the latter had moved forward with a proper motion of 0″,91 of time, or of 13″,65 of R.A. from 1767 to 1783, in 16 years, or at the rate of 0″,853 a year, on ſuppoſition that the proper motion of α Aquilæ is 0″,57 a year forward.

puted, ſhewing the moon's parallax and motion for ſuch deviation, which deviation may eaſily be found by the well known method of obſerving ſtars whoſe difference of declination is conſiderable.

As travellers very ſeldom meet with ſituations to obſerve ſtars near the pole, or find a proper object for determining the error of the line of collimation, I ſhall recommend the following idea, which, I believe, has never yet been noticed, and hope it will anſwer the purpoſe. Having computed the apparent R.A. of four, ſix, or more ſtars, which have nearly the ſame parallel of declination, obſerve half of them with the inſtrument inverted, and the other half when in its right poſition; if the difference of R.A.'s between each ſet by obſervation agree with the computation, there is no error; but if they diſagree, half that diſagreement is the error of the line of collimation. The ſame obſervations may alſo ſerve to determine whether the diſtance of the correſponding wires are equal. In caſe of neceſſity, each limb of the ſun might be obſerved in the ſame manner, though probably with leſs preciſion. By a ſingle trial I made above two years ago, the reſult was much more exact than I expected. MAYER's Catalogue of Stars will prove of great uſe to thoſe that adopt the above method.

In ſuch a number of obſervations, it is not ſurpriſing that a few ſhould be erroneous; I have rejected only three.

A meridian tranſit of the moon's limb, Auguſt 18, 1782; δ Sagitarii was the only ſtar obſerved at York; it gives for difference of meridians, ... ′ ″ 3 55

Perhaps the ſtar has a proper motion, or a miſtake of one ſecond might have been made in marking the clock.

An immerſion of Jupiter's firſt ſatellite, June 22, 1783, which make the difference of meridians, . 3 42

The air was hazy both at Greenwich and at York.

Laſtly, an occultation of a ſtar of the ninth magnitude, immerged behind the dark limb of the moon, during the eclipſe of Sept 10, 1783, at 11 h. 29′ 6″ apparent time. M. MESSIER alſo obſerved it at 11 h. 50′ 49″¼ apparent time at Paris: he determined its R.A. 349° 22′ 17″, and declination 5° 38′ 23″ ſouth. M. GOODRICKE, who computes very accurately, finds it gives for difference of meridians, . . . 4 44¼

I am rather ſurpriſed, that the immerſions of known ſtars of the ſixth and ſeventh magnitude behind the *dark limb* of the moon are not conſtantly obſerved in fixed Obſervatories, as they would frequently be of great uſe.

Latitude of York.

The following determinations for the latitude of York were made with a BIRD's 18-inch quadrant, the teleſcope of two feet focus, with which inſtrument obſervations of the ſame ſtar ſeldom differ 10″.

Latitude of the Obſervatory.

°	′	″			
53	57	37	by 7	obſervations	of Arcturus.
53	57	41	by 2	ditto	of α Lyræ.
53	57	52	by 1	ditto	of β Arietis.
53	57	37	by 1	ditto	of β Cygni.
53	57	33	by 2	ditto	of Algol.
53	57	57	by 4	ditto	of γ Lyræ.
53	57	49	by 8	ditto	of β Draconis.
53	57	46	by 6	ditto	of μ Draconis.
53	57	56	by 2	ditto	of γ Draconis.
53	57	45 +	latitude on a mean.		

The

The line of collimation was deduced from β, γ, and μ Draconis; half of each ſet obſerved with the face of the quadrant to the eaſt, and half with its face to the weſt. This, as well as the other methods, is very tedious, particularly when required to be often repeated, as is the caſe in travelling; I ſhall therefore propoſe the following invention, the idea of which was improved on by Mr. SMEATON, and flatter myſelf it will prove of the greateſt facility.

The error of the line of collimation includes the fixed errors of the inſtrument, and thoſe that are ſubject to change, occaſioned by the wires and glaſſes, &c. of the teleſcope moving. The error of theſe laſt may be found by making the teleſcope turn on its center, ſo that the ſun, ſtars, or terreſtrial objects may be obſerved on the horizontal wire in two manners; firſt, when the wire is in its natural poſition, and then inverted, which is performed by turning the teleſcope 180 degrees, or half round: thus, this part of the error can always be known with the greateſt eaſe; and in order to find the fixed errors, it is requiſite for *a ſingle time* to get the *whole error* of the line of collimation by one of the common methods, from which the error of the teleſcope being deducted, the fixed errors become known; and as they are unchangeable, if any alteration ſhould take place, it proceeds from the teleſcope, and may eaſily be detected as ſhewn above. Perhaps, inſtead of the whole teleſcope, it would be ſufficient only to make that part turn containing the eye-glaſs and wires.

As the following obſervations made alſo at York may be of uſe, I beg, Sir, you will annex them to my paper on the longitude and latitude of that city, which lately I had the pleaſure of ſending you.

Dates.	App. time.			
	h.	′	″	
1781, July 19	9	41	59	Emerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; night fine.
1782, May 24	12	23	12	Immerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; good.
July 20	11	27	40:	Emerſion of Jupiter's 2d ſat.; doubtful; air very hazy.
Nov. 30	20	57	16	Immerſion of α ♍ behind the moon; inſtantaneous.
	20	57	21½*	Ditto ditto; in another part of the town.
				Eclipſe of the moon.
1783, Mar. 18	8	27	50	Total immerſion of the moon; air very clear.
	8	27	33*	Ditto; good.
	10	9	36	Moon begins to emerge; } air hazy.
	10	10	18	Certainly emerged; } air hazy.
June 26	13	35	21	Immerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; good.
	13	34	52*	Ditto; middling.
				Eclipſe of the moon; air clear.
Sept. 10	9	30	45	Appearance of penumbra.
	12	17	30	Moon not emerged, but light ſtrong.
	12	19	35	Ditto; very ſtrong.
	12	21	14	Moon begins to emerge, but uncertain.
	12	21	44	Ditto; more certain.
	12	21	56*	Ditto; ditto.
	12	22	24	Moon certainly emerged.
	12	22	24*	Ditto.
	13	21	00	End of the eclipſe, doubtful; air hazy.
	13	21	23*	Ditto.
	13	22	18*	Certainly ended, but not clear of penumbra.
	13	22	45	Ditto, ditto; air clearer.
				Several ſpots were obſerved, but are here omitted, for fear of being too voluminous.
Sept. 16	10	22	41	Emerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; air clear; but Jupiter low.
23	9	27	18	Emerſion of Jupiter's 3d ſat.; Jupiter low; undulation.
Oct. 11	7	34	9*	Emerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite.
	7	34	21	Ditto; tolerably good.
29	5	42	53	Emerſion of Jupiter's third ſatellite.
	5	46	16	Equal in brightneſs to the ſecond ſatellite; air clear.
1784, July 27	10	7	46	Immerſion of Jupiter's third ſatellite; tolerably good, though undulation.

Dates.

Dates.	App. time.				
	h.	′	″		
1784, Aug. 26	8	54	12	Immerſion of τ ♐ behind the moon; inſtantaneous.	
Oct. 11	9	49	30	Emerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; good, though ſlight haze.	
	9	49	26*	Ditto.	
Nov. 12	9	33	59	Emerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite.	
	9	34	1*	Ditto.	
1785, July 15	12	26	50	Immerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; air clear.	
Aug. 18	11	44	37	Immerſion of Jupiter's third ſatellite; good;	the air a little vapouriſh.
Sept. 17	12	16	55	Immerſion of Jupiter's ſecond ſatellite; good;	
Oct. 29	6	33	26	Emerſion of Jupiter's third ſatellite;	
Nov. 15	9	24	±	I examined Jupiter's fourth ſatellite during 20′, without being certain whether it had diminiſhed in light.	
Dec. 15	5	50	48	Immerſion of 125 ♉ by the moon, exact within 3″.	

I have again marked with an aſteriſk the obſervations made by Mr. GOODRICKE, who deſired me to communicate them. This worthy young man exiſts no more; he is not only regretted by many friends, but will prove a loſs to aſtronomy, as the diſcoveries he ſo rapidly made ſufficiently evince: alſo his quickneſs in the ſtudy of mathematics was well known to ſeveral perſons eminent in that line.

Declination of the needle.

	h		°	′	
1780, Sept. 13.	at 2½,	by a mean of 22 trials,	23	40½	Declination weſt.
1782, Dec. 26.	at 0¾,	by a mean of 16 trials,	23	5+	
1783, Nov. 14.	at 0¾,	by a mean of 19 trials,	23	59−	
1784, Jan. 17.	at 0⅔,	by a mean of 13 trials,	23	54+	

Theſe obſervations were taken with all poſſible exactneſs; the needle was four inches long, and made by DOLLOND.

Sir H. ENGLEFIELD, when at Scarborough, in Auguſt and September, 1781, was ſo kind as to obſerve, at noon, the height of his barometer and thermometer. I alſo made ſimilar obſervations in the Obſervatory at York; from which, by eight compariſons, none diſagreeing above 0,018 of an inch from the mean, I find, that the quickſilver at the ſea ſtood 0,063 of an inch higher than at York. The barometers were made by Ramsden, and they agreed together to 0,005 part of an inch. We may later alſo expect to get the mean height of the barometer and thermometer, as there are ſeveral gentlemen that obſerve them every day, particularly Mr. Wyvil and Dr. White at York, and Mr. Chomonley at Branſby.

I remain, Sir, with great regard, &c.

EDW. PIGOTT.

May 26, 1786.